

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,079	BRETSCHNEIDER, ERNST	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUCHIN PARIHAR	2825	

All Participants:

(1) SUCHIN PARIHAR (Examiner, AU 2825).

(2) Mark A. Wilson (Reg.# 43,994).

Date of Interview: 6/15/2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

Claims 1 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant to discuss a potential amendment that might place the application into a condition for Allowance and avoid a potential 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph issue with regard to the independent claims. Applicant agreed to the changes and suggested that Examiner make the changes by way of Examiner's Amendment.

Suchin Parihar
Examiner, AU 2825
571-272-6210.